ı: Stephanle Nunez	Fax: 12133819988 To:	Fax: (204) 985-7	_			
	Case 5:22-cv-02259-	VKD Document 1-1 File	ed 04/11/22 Page 1 of 12 CM-0			
ATTORNEY OR PART	TY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar i]. (SBN 209934)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY			
Wilshire Law	i. (5BN 209934) Firm					
3055 Wilshir	e Blvd., 12th Floor		ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY			
Los Angeles,	CA 90010	(2.4.2) 2.2.4	Superior Court of California,			
TELEPHONE	NO.: (213) 381-9988	FAX NO.: (213) 381-9989	· ·			
		<u>IARTÍN ÁNGÚIÁNO-VÁRG</u>				
	OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF M_0	onterey	On 9/1/2021 4:06 PM			
STREET ADDRI	ESS: 1200 Aguajito Road ESS: 1200 Aguajito Road		By: Rowena Esquerra, Deputy			
	DDE: Monterey, CA 93940					
CITT AND ZIP CO	Monterey Courthouse	•				
CASE NAME:	WIE. ITTOLICOTO y COCKETIONS					
	RTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS	v. KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVIC	E. et al.			
	SE COVER SHEET		CASE NUMBER:			
Unlimited		Complex Case Designation	n 21CV002800			
(Amount	(Amount	Counter Joinde	er			
demande	V	Filed with first appearance by det	fendant JUDGE:			
exceeds 8		(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.4				
L	Items 1–6 belo	ow must be completed (see instruction	ons on page 2).			
1. Check one b	ox below for the case type that					
Auto Tort		Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
✓ Auto (2:	2)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)			
Uninsu	red motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)			
Other PI/PD/V	VD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)			
1 — -	ngful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
Asbesto	os (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)			
Product	t liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical	l malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the			
Other P	PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case			
Non-Pi/PD/W	D (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)			
Busines	ss tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment			
Civil rig	hts (08)	<u>Unla</u> wful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)			
Defama	ation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)			
Intellect	tual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)			
Profess	sional negligence (25)	<u>Judi</u> cial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition			
Other n	on-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)			
Employment		Petition re: arbitration award (11	Other petition (not specified above) (43)			
Wrongf	ul termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Carlot position (not specimes discret) (10)			
Other e	mployment (15)	Other judicial review (39)				
2. This case			a Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
factors requi	iring exceptional judicial manaç	gement:				
a. Lar	ge number of separately repres	sented parties d.	mber of witnesses			
b. Ext	ensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e. 🔲 Coordinat	ion with related actions pending in one or more cou			
issu	ues that will be time-consuming	to resolve in other co	ounties, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
c. 🔲 Sub	ostantial amount of documental	ry evidence f. 🔲 Substantia	al postjudgment judicial supervision			
2 Dawedies	availed (almost all that apply).					
	ought (check all that apply): a.		ry; declaratory or injunctive relief			
	causes of action (specify): On					
5. This case		s action suit.				
6. If there are	any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (Y	ou may use form CM-015.)			
Date: Septem	ber 1, 2021					
Tae Kim, Esq	1.	•	2			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)			
DI : ""	4.27 - 0.25	NOTICE	. P			
			eding (except small claims cases or cases filed			
in sanctions		vellare and institutions code). (Cal.	Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result			
		er sheet required by local court rule.				
If this case is	s complex under rule 3.400 et :		you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all			
other parties to the action or proceeding.						
 Unless this i 	s a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover	sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.			
Form Adopted for Mand	atory Use	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.74			
Judicial Council of Ca CM-010 [Rev. July 1,	alifornia	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.			
Owner to press. July 1,	, mover [www.courtinfo.ca.g			

Case 5:22-cv-02259-VKD Document 1-1 Filed 04/11/22 Page 2 of 12

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
```

Auto Tort

Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wronaful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403)

CM-010

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judament (nondomestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Claim Other Civil Petition

From: Stephanie Nunez Fax: 12133819988 To: Fax: (204) 985-7117 Page: 9 of 18 10/04/2021 5:40 PM

Case 5:22-cv-02259-VKD Document 1-1 Filed 04/11/22 Page 3 of 12

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE, a corporation; AGRI-FRESH INC., a corporation; MICHAEL TUSTIN, an individual; DOES 1 through 50, inclusive.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS, an individual.

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY Superior Court of California, County of Monterey On 9/1/2021 4:06 PM By: Rowena Esquerra, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Monterey Courthouse

1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Tae Kim, Esq; Wilshire Law Firm; 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Fl, Los Angeles, CA 90010; 213-381-9988

DATE: 9/1/2021 Clerk, by /s/ Rowena Esquerra , Deputy (Secretario) (Secretario) (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

[SEAL]	
A	CAN ESPERA THE CAN
40	
A	
6.00	
26.0	
.4	

as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of	f (specify):
3. on behalf of (specify):	
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)	CCP 41

under:	CCP 416.10 (corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)
	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)	 CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
	. (1 /	

CASE NUMBER:

(Número del Caso):

21CV002800

other *(specify):*by personal delivery on *(date)*:

Page 1 of 1

Fax: 12133819988 Fax: (204) 985-7117 From: Stephanle Nunez Page: 10 of 18 10/04/2021 5:40 PM Case 5:22-cv-02259-VKD Document 1-1 Filed 04/11/22 Page 4 of 12 **ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY** Superior Court of California, 1 Tae Kim, Esq. SBN: 209934 County of Monterey WILSHIRE LAW FIRM On 9/1/2021 4:06 PM 2 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor By: Rowena Esquerra, Deputy Los Angeles, California 90010 3 Tel: (213) 381-9988 Fax: (213) 381-9989 4 Email: Lit@Wilshirelawfirm.com 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff, ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS 7 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 COUNTY OF MONTEREY 10 CASE NO.: 21CV002800 11 ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS, an individual, 12 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:** Plaintiff, WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137 13 1. **NEGLIGENCE** VS. 14 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 15 KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE, a corporation; AGRI-FRESH INC., a 16 corporation; MICHAEL TUSTIN, an individual; DOES 1 through 50, inclusive. 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 COMES NOW Plaintiff ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS, who 21 respectfully alleges the following: 22 **GENERAL ASSERTIONS** 23 1. This is an action for personal injury arising out of the actions of the Defendants, 24 which occurred on or about September 19, 2019, and which proximately caused serious injury 25 to Plaintiff ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS. The negligent acts and omissions of 26 the Defendants as herein alleged took place in or about the City of Salinas, in the County of 27 Monterey, State of California. Accordingly, venue within this judicial district is proper. 28 ///

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

10

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137

23

28

- 2. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS was a resident of the City of Salinas, County of Monterey, State of California.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that at all times relevant herein, Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was an individual over the age of 18 and a resident of the City of Calgary, County of Alberta, State of Canada.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that at all times relevant herein, Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE was a Company authorized to do business in, and doing business in, the City of Winnipeg, County of Manitoba, State of Canada.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that at all times relevant herein, Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC. was a Company authorized to do business in, and doing business in, the City of Lockport, County of Manitoba, State of Canada.
- 6. The true names and/or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of the Defendants DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of these Defendants fictitiously named herein as a DOE is legally responsible, negligent or in some other actionable manner liable for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, and proximately and legally caused the injuries to Plaintiff as hereinafter alleged. Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and/or capacities of such fictitiously-named Defendants when the same has been ascertained.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that at all times relevant hereto, each Defendant, including DOES 1 through 50, was the owner, servant, agent, joint-venturer, employee or employer of each of its co-Defendants, and in doing the acts hereinafter mentioned, each Defendant was acting within the scope of its authority and with the permission and consent of its co-Defendants, and each of them, and that said acts of each Defendant was ratified by said Defendant's co-Defendants, and each of them

1

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

///

and every Defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection and hiring of each and every other Defendant as an agent, employee and/or joint venturer.

- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that all of the acts, conduct, and nonfeasance herein carried out by each and every representative, employee or agent of each and every corporate or business defendant, were authorized, ordered, and directed by the respective defendant's corporate or business employers, officers, directors and/or managing agents; that in addition thereto, said corporate or business employers, officers, directors and/or managing agents had advance knowledge of, authorized, and participated in the herein described acts, conduct and nonfeasance of their representatives, employees, agents and each of them; and that in addition thereto, upon the completion of the aforesaid acts, conduct and nonfeasance of the employees and agents, the aforesaid corporate and business employers, officers, directors and/or managing agents respectively ratified, accepted the benefits of, condoned and approved of each and all of said acts, conduct or nonfeasance of their co-employees, employers, and agents. In addition, at all times herein relevant, each defendant, whether named herein or designated as a DOE, was a principal, master, employer and joint venturer or every other defendant, and every defendant was acting within the scope of said agency authority, employment and joint venture.
- 9. On or about September 19, 2019, Plaintiff ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS was traveling Northbound along Vertin Avenue, approaching the intersection of Terven Avenue.
- 10. At the same time, Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was traveling Northbound along Vertin Avenue also approaching the intersection of Terven Avenue. Defendant was attempting to make a left turn from Vertin Avenue onto Terven Avenue and proceeded to make a wide left turn.
- 11. As a result of the Defendants' failure to yield the right of way to all vehicles which were close enough to constitute a hazard at any time during the turning movement, Plaintiff and Defendants' vehicles collided, resulting in significant injury to the Plaintiff.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137 14 15

17

16

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence

[Against All Defendants]

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference, as though fully set forth at length, 12. each and every allegation and statement contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs, inclusive, of the General Assertions above.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that on the above date and time, Defendants MICHAEL TUSTIN and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive and each of them, failed to operate their vehicle in a safe or reasonable manner by failing to yield the right of way to all vehicles and initiating a left turn from Vertin Avenue onto Terven Avenue at a stop sign when other vehicles were approaching at such a distance as to constitute an immediate hazard.
- 14. Moreover, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that Defendants MICHAEL TUSTIN and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive and each of them, negligently failed to approach the intersection with due care, failed to perceive and/or take into consideration the other vehicles on the roadway, and were inattentive at the time of the collision. As a result of Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable care, the vehicle driven by Defendant collided with Plaintiff's vehicle causing injuries to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's property.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN failed to properly check for, and yield, the rightof-way to all vehicles nearby prior to initiating the left turn. Further, Defendant knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that failing to check for any and all vehicles nearby prior to initiating a left turn created an unreasonable risk of injury to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 16. Moreover, Defendant knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that there was a vehicle next to him at the time that Defendant began to make the left turn from Vertin Avenue onto Terven Avenue. In proceeding despite this actual or constructive

11 12

13 14

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

///

knowledge of the existence of vehicles constituting an immediate hazard, Defendant created an unreasonable risk of injury to Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

- 17. Further, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was operating the subject vehicle with the express or implied authority and permission of Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE, as an agent or employee of Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE, and within the course and scope of his agency or employment with Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE. Further, Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE knew or should have known that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was unfit to operate the subject vehicle and was therefore negligent in hiring, retaining, training, and entrusting the subject vehicle to Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN.
- 18. Further, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was operating the subject vehicle with the express or implied authority and permission of Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC., as an agent or employee of Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC., and within the course and scope of his agency or employment with Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC.. Further, Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC. knew or should have known that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was unfit to operate the subject vehicle and was therefore negligent in hiring, retaining, training, and entrusting the subject vehicle to Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN.
- 19. California Vehicle Code § 21802 is a statute enacted to protect, among others, motorists on California highways from collisions between vehicles and damage resulting therefrom. California Vehicle Code § 21802(a) states in pertinent part: "The driver of any vehicle approaching a stop sign at the entrance to, or within, an intersection shall stop as required by Section 22450. The driver shall then yield the right-of-way to any vehicles which have approached from another highway, or which are approaching so closely as to constitute an immediate hazard, and shall continue to yield the right-of-way to those vehicles until he or she can proceed with reasonable safety."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that Defendants MICHAEL TUSTIN and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive and each of them, violated California Vehicle Code § 21802(a) by failing to yield the right of way to all vehicles upon the roadway which were close enough to constitute a hazard at any time during the turning movement. Instead, Defendant proceeded to initiate a left hand turn.

- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that at all times herein mentioned the Plaintiff was a member of the class of persons designed to be protected by the aforementioned vehicle code section, that the subject collision was within the class of risks for which the aforementioned vehicle code section was enacted to protect against, that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN's violation of said vehicle code section was inexcusable, and that the violation of said vehicle code was a direct, legal, and proximate cause of the injuries and damages complained of herein.
- 22. Further, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was operating the subject vehicle with the express or implied authority and permission of Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE, as an agent or employee of Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE, and within the course and scope of his agency or employment with Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE. Further, Defendant KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE knew or should have known that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was unfit to operate the subject vehicle and was therefore negligent in hiring, retaining, training, and entrusting the subject vehicle to Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN.
- 23. Further, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was operating the subject vehicle with the express or implied authority and permission of Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC., as an agent or employee of Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC., and within the course and scope of his agency or employment with Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC.. Further, Defendant AGRI-FRESH INC. knew or should have known that Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN was unfit to operate the subject vehicle and was therefore negligent in hiring, retaining, training, and entrusting the

9

11

10

12

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

20 ///

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

subject vehicle to Defendant MICHAEL TUSTIN.

- 24. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the negligent acts and/or omissions of Defendants MICHAEL TUSTIN, KEEWATIN TRUCK SERVICE, AGRI-FRESH INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive and each of them, Plaintiff suffered severe injuries and attendant damages.
- 25. As a further direct, legal and proximate result of the combined and concurrent wrongful conduct of all of the Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and sustained loss and damages within the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of California, including, but not limited to severe and permanent injury to the body and nervous system of Plaintiff.
- 26. In addition, as a direct, legal, and proximate result of the combined and concurrent wrongful acts of the Defendants, Plaintiff suffered and sustained the following loss and damages within the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of California.
 - All past and future medical and incidental expenses; a.
 - b. All past and future loss of earnings and earning capacity;
 - All past and future non-economic damages; c.
 - d. Loss or damage to tangible personal property; and
 - Pre-trial and post-trial interest. e.

Page: 17 of 18 5 10/04/2021 5:40 PM Page 11 of 12 1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff ANDRES MARTIN ANGUIANO-VARGAS hereby prays for 2 judgment against all Defendants and each of them as follows: 3 1. For all past and future economic damages, including but not limits to medical expenses, incidental expenses, loss of income and loss of earning capacity, according to proof; 4 5 2. For all past and future non-economic damages, according to proof; 3. 6 All loss of property damage; 7 4. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law; 8 5. For costs of suit; and 9 6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 10 11 DATED: September 1, 2021 WILSHIRE LAW FIRM 12 WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137 13 By: Tae Kim, Esq. 14 Attorney for Plaintiff 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Fax: (204) 985-7117

Case 5:22-cv-02259-VKD Document 1-1 Filed 04/11/22

Fax: 12133819988

From: Stephanle Nunez